

## 6-Month State Capacity Assessment Results (7/1/2021-12/31/2021)


Six months following their baseline data collection in the Adolescent and Young Adult Behavioral Health (AYA-BH) CoIIN project, each of the five public health state teams submitted another round of state capacity assessments to evaluate their progress since the start of the project.

**These results are not intended as a tool for comparison between states; rather, the results of these assessments can serve as a planning tool for each state team as they coordinate and evaluate their efforts for this project.** In addition, state teams who would like to make additional progress in a certain domain are encouraged to reach out to their peers on another state team that has seen progress in that domain to glean strategies and lessons learned. Center Champions are happy to make those connections!

This document will review the 6-month state capacity assessment to include how each graph should be interpreted as well as examples of interpretation.

## Number of Policy/Procedural Changes by Approach:

**How to read this graph:** Each cluster of bars represents one of the five approaches to building state team capacity (from left to right: statewide commitment, partnerships, leveraging initiatives, augmenting MCH capacity, and assessment, measurement, & monitoring). Within each of the clusters, there is one bar representing each of the state teams, as noted by abbreviations (from left to right: AZ, CA, IA, OH and PA). When interpreting each state's results within one of the domains, the colors signify the number of policies or procedures that are not present (red), in progress (gold), or in place (turquoise). For example, within the "statewide commitment" domain, there are a total of 6 possible policies or procedures to be addressed whereas in the "leveraging initiatives" domain, there are only 2 possible policies or procedures to be addressed.




### Example interpretations:

- Every team has at least 2 policies or procedure in place under the "statewide commitment domain."
- Most policies and procedures under the "partnerships" domain are in progress.
- The "assessment, measurement & monitoring" domain has the most policies and procedures that are reported as "not in place."

## Number of Policy/Procedural Changes by State:

**How to read this graph:** Each cluster of bars represents one of the four state teams, as noted by their abbreviations (from left to right: AZ, CA, IA, OH and PA). Within each of the clusters, each of the bars represents one of the five approaches to building state team capacity (from left to right: statewide commitment, partnerships, leveraging initiatives, augmenting MCH capacity, and assessment, measurement, & monitoring). When interpreting each state’s results for each of the capacity-building approaches, the colors signify the number of policies or procedures that are not present (red), in progress (gold), or in place (turquoise). For example, within the “statewide commitment” domain, there are a total of 6 possible policies or procedures to be addressed whereas in the “leveraging initiatives” domain, there are only 2 possible policies or procedures to be addressed.




### Example interpretations:

- The Ohio team reports that half of the “statewide commitment” policies or procedures are in place and half are in progress.
- The Arizona team reports that 2 of the “assessment, measurement, and monitoring” policies or procedures are in place and 1 is in progress.

## Change in Approach: Baseline to 6 Months

**How to read this graph:** Each cluster of bars represents one of the approaches to building state capacity (from left to right: statewide commitment, partnerships, leveraging initiatives, augmenting MCH capacity, and assessment, measurement, & monitoring). Within each cluster, each of the bars represents one of the four state teams by their abbreviation (from left to right: AZ, CA, IA, OH and PA). Whenever there is a bar below the “0” mid-line, that indicates a net negative change in the # of policies or procedures within that domain that were in place (gold), in progress (gray), or not present (orange). Whenever there is a bar above the “0” mid-line, that indicates a net positive change in the # of policies or procedures within that domain that were in place (gold), in progress (gray), not present (orange), or no response (blue). If there is no bar over a state abbreviation, that indicates that there was no change in status for that approach from baseline.




### Example interpretations:

- Since baseline, the Iowa team has reported that one of the policies and procedures under “statewide commitment” moved from not present to in progress.
- Since baseline, the Pennsylvania team reported that two policies and procedures changed status.
- Since baseline, most state teams reported some changes in policies and procedures under the “statewide commitment” domain.

## Change by State: Baseline to 6 Months

**How to read this graph:** Each cluster of bars represents one of the five state teams by their abbreviation (from left to right: AZ, CA, IA, OH and PA). Within each cluster, each of the bars represents one of the approaches to building state capacity (from left to right: statewide commitment, partnerships, leveraging initiatives, augmenting MCH capacity, and assessment, measurement, & monitoring). Whenever there is a bar below the “0” mid-line, that indicates a net negative change in the # of policies or procedures within that domain that were in place (gold), in progress (gray), or not present (orange). Whenever there is a bar above the “0” mid-line, that indicates a net positive change in the # of policies or procedures within that domain that were in place (gold), in progress (gray), not present (orange), or no response (blue). If there is no bar over a particular approach, that indicates that there was no change in status for that approach for the indicated state since baseline.



### Example interpretations:

- Since their baseline assessment, the California team reported that one of the policies and procedures under the “partnerships” domain moved from not present to in progress.
- Since baseline, most teams reported a change in status of at least one policy or procedure under the “statewide commitment domain.”