Principal Investigator:

Reviewer Name:

Selection Criteria	Description of Criteria	Indicators of Performance Score			Score	
		4	3	2	1	
Innovation/Relevance	To promote innovation in scholarship, creative teaching/learning projects or faculty development that reflect patient/family-centered care, improve care of patients. Anchored in Best Practices as defined by: Humanistic practice — commitment to incorporate human values of caring, compassion, and respect into healthcare relationships. Interprofessional education — proposal/project designed for targeted learners (students and/or professionals) from two or more health professions so that they may learn about, from and with each other to enable effective communication and improve health outcomes. Optimal teaching-learning experience- Fosters collaboration and shared accountability within the learning community.	Proposal or faculty development identifies an emerging problem or adds new dimension to previously identified need/project. Reflects patient/family-centered care, improves care of patients. References relevant published scholarly work in healthcare and beyond that supports proposed project. Articulates the three best practices.	Proposal or faculty development identifies an emerging problem or adds new dimension to previously identified need/project. Reflects patient/familycentered care; improves care of patients. References relevant published scholarly work in healthcare and beyond that supports proposed project. Articulates two of the best practices.	Proposal or faculty development consistent with current, similar projects, teaching practices or faculty development. Improves care of patients. Includes references to published scholarly work that supports proposal/faculty development. Articulates at least one of the best practices.	Proposal unsubstantiated or inconsistent with need and/or method. Does not address care of patients or faculty development related directly to improved patient care. Lacks references to relevant published scholarly work or publication dates older than 10 years. Articulates to some degree but not fully, one of the best practices.	Numerical Score:
Impact	Advances knowledge, with impact in healthcare, art of patient/family-centered care,	May lead to significant results with potential to fill a gap in current literature	May lead to results with potential to further substantiate current	May lead to results similar to current literature related to	Lacks potential to fill a gap or inconsistent with current literature	Numerical Score:

Principal Investigator:

Reviewer Name:

	patient advocacy, health of communities, and influence on learners and/or teaching-learning experiences that aspires to promote inclusive excellence	related to knowledge, with impact in healthcare, art of patient/family-centered care, patient advocacy, health of communities, influence on learners and/or teaching learning experience. • Supports inclusive excellence.	literature related to knowledge with impact in healthcare, art of patient/family-centered care, patient advocacy, health of communities; influence on learners and/or teaching learning experience. • Potential to support inclusive excellence.	knowledge in healthcare, art of patient/family-centered care, patient advocacy, health of communities, influence on learners and/or teaching learning experience. • Lacks evidence of potential to support inclusive excellence	related to knowledge with impact in healthcare, art of patient/family-centered care, patient advocacy, health of communities, influence on learners and/or teaching learning experience. • Lacks evidence of potential to support inclusive excellence.	
Reach	The proposal or faculty development encompasses a previously unexamined area of teaching and/or scholarship. Consistent with UVM mission and values.	• Applicant(s) explicate an approach/method or creative area of individual professional growth not currently recognized within their work that indicates a direction not previously taken.	Applicant(s) explain an approach/method or creative area of individual professional growth not currently recognized within their work that includes an element of a direction not previously taken.	Applicants' explanation of reach inconsistent with a new approach/method or area of individual professional growth within their work that indicates a direction not previously taken.	Not explained.	Numerical Score: Comments:
Theoretical Approach	Theory and framework related to learning, teaching, education, or healthcare practices.	Anchored in known theoretical framework or theory related to best practices. May include evidence-based approach.	Somewhat anchored in known theoretical framework or theory related to best practices. May include evidence-based approach.	Vague or unclear theoretical framework, best practice. Not supported by evidence.	Lacks theoretical framework, best practice, or evidence- based approach.	Numerical Score: Comments:
Methods, Educational Strategies	Utilizes methods related to learning, teaching, education, and healthcare practices. Employs educational strategies that engage a diverse community of learners.	 Applies the science of learning: Active/interactive learning, e.g., Problem or team based; Flipped classroom; simulation. Well-articulated, feasible, ethical goals. 	Combines the science of learning with traditional educational methods and strategies, e.g., lecture, PowerPoint. Well-articulated, feasible, ethical goals. Objectives/outcomes specific, measurable,	Describes traditional learning methods and strategies, e.g., lecture, PowerPoint. Feasible, ethical goals. Objectives/outcomes are not specific, measurable, actionable,	Educational strategies not described. Lacks feasibility. Goals unclear. Objectives/ outcomes not stated.	Numerical Score:

Principal Investigator: Reviewer Name:

		Objectives/outcomes specific, measurable, actionable, relevant, time-oriented. Includes Universal Design for Learning. Identifies and describes learner-centered approaches.	actionable, relevant, time-oriented. • Includes Universal Design for Learning. • Acknowledges opportunities for learner-centered approaches.	relevant, or time- oriented.	Universal design for learning not addressed.	
Budget Feasibility	Requested funds support scope of project; economical use of funds; Itemization with specific objectives.	Detailed outline Itemized for each year of grant. Includes rationale and specifics for expenditures Includes specifics of cost containing measures.	Some details in outline Itemized for each year of grant. Estimates rather than specifics for expenditures. Addresses cost containment to some degree.	 Estimations of costs, expenditures. Budget inconsistent with probable total costs of project. 	Budget plan not submitted or incomplete.	Numerical Score: Comments:
Timeline	Project likely to be implemented within two-year span of grant.	 Highly feasible within span of grant. All project activities likely to be implemented. 	 Feasible within span of grant. Most project activities likely to be implemented. 	 Somewhat feasible within span of grant. Some project activities likely to be implemented. 	 Not feasible within span of grant. Required project activities unlikely be implemented. 	Numerical Score: Comments:
Evaluation Plan	Evaluation design to identify merit, value, and worth of project. Results will inform the future of the project.	Measurement criteria: Impact that improves patient care, patient/family-centered care. Transfer of learning; observed changes in learners behaviors over time.	Measurement criteria: Knowledge and skills gain, attitudes change – short and/or long term.	Measurement criteria: Learner satisfaction.	Evaluation plan vague, without metrics, or lacks identified plan for evaluation.	Numerical Score: Comments:
Dissemination Plan	Identification of stakeholders within the community at large that will eventually receive information about the project (who is the community).	• Articulates a coordinated plan and method for communicating the outcomes/findings of the project to appropriate stakeholders.	Articulates a coordinated plan and method for communicating the outcomes/findings of the project to appropriate stakeholders.	Limited outreach/ audience.	Lacks identified plan for dissemination.	Numerical Score:

Principal Investigator: Reviewer Name:

		Plan includes presentation within University or at Regional, National, or International Conference. Plan to publish.	Plan includes presentation within University or at Regional, National, or International Conference.			
The PI and Team	Background and commitment to education; clinician-teacher excellence; qualifications relevant to project; department or site support.	CV representative of formal education as educator or expert resources available for consultation. Ongoing teaching experience and commitment to education. Evidence of teaching effectiveness. Letters of support substantiate above Includes letter of support from course director or department chair.	CV representative ongoing formal teaching experiences and commitment to education. Letters of support substantiate above Includes letter of support from course director or department chair.	CV representative of involvement in teaching activities and commitment to education. Letters of support substantiate above. Includes letter of support from course director or department chair.	CV inconsistent with background in education, teaching. Letters of support do not address teaching experiences. Lacks letter of support from course director or department chair.	Numerical Score: Comments:

Please tally	total score:	

Please include verbatim feedback for applicant:

Principal Investigator: Reviewer Name:

For resources, refer to the Frymoyer Scholars Program Toolkit: http://www.med.uvm.edu/teachingacademy/frymoyer-scholars-program-toolkit